# **EXHIBIT 2**

**From:** Ronnie Spiegel [mailto:ronnie@hbsslaw.com]

**Sent:** Friday, April 01, 2016 6:10 PM

**To:** Abeles, Scott M.

**Cc:** Kass, Colin; Munkittrick, David A.; Reiss, Steven; Trager, Lara; Steven.Cherry@wilmerhale.com; Steve Williams; Jon Cuneo; Vicky@cuneolaw.com; Salzman, Hollis (HSalzman@RobinsKaplan.com); Marc Seltzer; adam.hemlock@weil.com **Subject:** Auto Parts Litigation - Draft [Proposed] Orders

Dear Scott,

Please find attached draft [Proposed] Orders regarding the SSEs' previous productions to the Department of Justice and 30(b)(6) depositions. As the Special Master directed at the hearing last Thursday, we are providing these to SSE counsel for comment. Please provide any comments back to us no later than EOB next Wednesday, April 6th, so that we may move forward with filing as soon as possible. Also, in response to your email to Adam Hemlock of March 28, 2016

requesting a list of specific questions for the 30(b)(6) depositions, we do not feel that it would be productive to provide a list at this time.

Please kindly circulate this email to the appropriate SSE counsel.

Best regards, Ronnie Spiegel

Ronnie Spiegel | Partner

#### **Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP**

1918 Eighth Ave Suite 3300 - Seattle, WA 98101

Direct: (206) 268-9343

ronnie@hbsslaw.com | www.hbsslaw.com | HBSS Blog



From: Hemlock, Adam [mailto:adam.hemlock@weil.com]

Sent: Monday, March 28, 2016 9:47 AM

**To:** Abeles, Scott M.

Cc: Kass, Colin; Munkittrick, David A.; Reiss, Steven; Trager, Lara; Steven.Cherry@wilmerhale.com; Steve Williams;

Ronnie Spiegel; Jon Cuneo; <u>Vicky@cuneolaw.com</u> **Subject:** Re: OEM Subpoena - 30(b)(6) topics

Dear Scott,

Thank you for your message. We are working on the draft order and are endeavoring to send it to you tomorrow.

On Mar 28, 2016, at 12:02 PM, Abeles, Scott M. <<u>sabeles@proskauer.com</u>> wrote:

## Dear Adam,

To help the SSEs assess your proposal, please send us the complete proposed order. As for the specific topics, you should note that, as an initial matter, any comments we have to the proposed order (and the topics) are subject to the SSE's right to appeal the Special Master's order, and should not be construed as consent to this process or any portion of it. With that caveat, we believe there needs to be a lead-in sentence that makes it clear that this is a 30(b)(6) custodian deposition and is limited to obtaining information concerning the existence, location, and burden associated with information related to the listed topics. We also believe that it would be extremely constructive if, within one week, the Parties could provide a detailed list of questions to each SSE family subject to this process so the relevant SSEs can better prepare their witnesses.

Please circulate to the appropriate Parties on our behalf.

## **Scott Michael Abeles**

Attorney

Proskauer

1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Suite 600 South Washington, DC 20004-2533 d 202.416.5817 f 202.416.6899 sabeles@proskauer.com

From: Hemlock, Adam [mailto:adam.hemlock@weil.com]

Sent: Friday, March 25, 2016 1:43 AM

**To:** Kass, Colin

Cc: Steven.Cherry@wilmerhale.com; Patrick.Carome@wilmerhale.com; Reiss, Steven; Trager, Lara;

<u>hiwrey@dykema.com</u>; <u>klein@butzel.com</u>; Steve Williams; Ronnie Spiegel; Jon Cuneo (<u>JonC@cuneolaw.com</u>); <u>Vicky@cuneolaw.com</u>; <u>WShotzbarger@duanemorris.com</u>

Subject: OEM Subpoena - 30(b)(6) topics

Dear Colin:

I hope you had a safe trip home. As instructed by the court, below please find the 30(b)(6) topics subject to today's order by Special Master Esshaki:

- 1. Transactional purchase data for the parts at issue
- 2. Procurement process and supplier selection and price adjustments for the parts at issue, and documents related thereto
- 3. Vehicle cost data
- 4. Vehicle pricing process, including process for setting and adjusting pricing, and documents related thereto
- 5. Transactional sales data for sales of vehicles:
  - a. From OEMs to ADPs and TEDPs
  - b. From OEMs to Distributors
  - c. From Distributors to ADPs and TEDPs;
  - d. From ADPs and TEDPs to EPPs
  - e. From OEMs to EPPs (e.g., fleet buyers)
  - f. From Distributors to EPPs

References above to documents and data include information on format, where maintained, time period, current and legacy systems, and costs and burden of production.

Please share this email with the other OEM counsel. Plaintiffs' counsel, please circulate among your group. We will do same with defendants.

Thanks and have a nice evening.

<image001.jpg>

Adam C. Hemlock

Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP 767 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10153 adam.hemlock@weil.com +1 212 310 8281 Direct +1 347 268 6858 Mobile +1 212 310 8007 Fax

The information contained in this email message is intended only for use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by email, postmaster@weil.com, and destroy the original message. Thank you.

This message and its attachments are sent from a law firm and may contain information that is confidential and protected by privilege from disclosure.

If you are not the intended recipient, you are prohibited from printing, copying, forwarding or saving them.

Please delete the message and attachments without printing, copying, forwarding or saving them, and notify the sender immediately.

The information contained in this email message is intended only for use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by email, <a href="mailto:postmaster@weil.com">postmaster@weil.com</a>, and destroy the original message. Thank you.

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

In Re: AUTOMOTIVE : 12-md-02311

PARTS ANTITRUST : Honorable Marianne O. Battani LITIGATION : Special Master Gene J. Esshaki

: 2:12-MD-02311-MOB-MKM

In Re: All Auto Parts Cases

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: ALL AUTO PARTS CASES

# [PROPOSED] SPECIAL MASTER'S ORDER REGARDING THE PARTIES' MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY FROM NON-PARTY OEMS AND ORDERING NON-PARTY OEM RULE 30(b)(6) DEPOSITIONS

WHEREAS, on January 19, 2016, Plaintiffs and Defendants (collectively, the "Parties"<sup>1</sup>) filed a Joint Motion to Compel Discovery from Non-Party Original Equipment Manufacturers, seeking to compel responses to certain narrowed requests of uniform subpoenas served on the non-party original equipment manufacturers ("OEMs") and their affiliates pursuant to the Court's orders dated March 19, 2015 (ECF No. 277, Case No. 2:12-cv-00100) and April 22, 2015 (ECF No. 949, Case No. 2:12-md-002311), and certain plaintiff parties filed a separate

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Parties consist of End Payor Plaintiffs (EPPs), Automobile Dealer Plaintiffs (ADPs), Truck and Equipment Dealer Plaintiffs (TEDPs), the State of Florida, the State of Indiana (collectively, the "Plaintiffs"), and Defendants in the Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation, No. 2:12-md-02311-MOB-MKM (E.D. Mich.) (*see* ECF. No. 1185 Attachment A).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Parties' Motion to Compel Discovery from Non-Party Original Equipment Manufacturers (*see*, *e.g.*, ECF No. 1185).

motion to compel regarding Request Nos. 27 and 31 in the OEM Subpoena<sup>3</sup> (collectively, the "Motions");

WHEREAS, on February 19, 2016, the subpoenaed OEMs and their affiliates subject to the Motions<sup>4</sup> filed several oppositions to the Motions<sup>5</sup>;

WHEREAS, on March 11, 2016, the Parties filed replies to the Motions<sup>6</sup>;

WHEREAS, on March 24, 2016, after having reviewed the above-referenced submissions, the undersigned held a mediation regarding the disputes raised in the Motions;

WHEREAS, the undersigned, after conducting several hours of discussions both individually and jointly with the Plaintiffs, Defendants, and OEMs, reached the conclusion that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Certain Serving Parties' Motion to Compel Discovery from Non-Party Original Equipment Manufacturers (ECF Nos. 1187 and 1188).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> *See* Exhibit 1227-2 to The Specified Subpoenaed Entities' Joint Opposition to the parties' Motions to Compel (*see*, *e.g.*, ECF No. 1227-2) (listing coordinating "Specific Subpoenaed Entities," or "SSEs" subject to the Motion).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The Specified Subpoenaed Entities' Joint Opposition to the parties' Motions to Compel (*see*, *e.g.*, ECF No. 1227); Memorandum of Smaller Subpoenaed Entities in Opposition to the Parties' Motion to Compel Discovery from Non-Party Original Equipment Manufacturers and Certain Serving Parties' Motion to Compel Discovery from Non-Party Original Equipment Manufacturers (*see*, *e.g.*, ECF No. 1223); Non-OEM Domestic Distributors' Opposition to the Parties' Motions to Compel Discovery From Non-Party Original Equipment Manufacturers (*see*, *e.g.*, ECF No. 1224); Opposition to the Parties' Motions to Compel by Specifically Subpoenaed Entities Whom the Parties Describe as "Non-Core" (*see*, *e.g.*, ECF No. 1230); Opposition to Motion to Compel by Non-Party Truck and Equipment Specified Subpoenaed Entities (*see*, *e.g.*, ECF No. 1226); *see also* Defendants' Opposition to Certain Serving Parties' Motion to Compel Discovery from Non-Party Original Equipment Manufacturers (*see*, *e.g.*, ECF No. 1216).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> End-Payor Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of the Parties' Motion to Compel Discovery from Non-Party Original Equipment Manufacturers (*see*, *e.g.*, ECF No. 1250); Defendants' Reply in Support of the Parties' Motion to Compel Discovery from Non-Party Original Equipment Manufacturers (*see*, *e.g.*, ECF No. 1246); Certain Serving Parties' Reply in Support of Motion to Compel Discovery From Non-Party Original Equipment Manufacturers (*see*, *e.g.*, ECF No. 1254).

there was insufficient information before him to rule on whether and/or to what extent to order the requested discovery from the OEMs and their affiliates;

WHEREAS, on March 24, 2016, following the mediation, the Special Master held a hearing regarding the disputes raised in the Motions, during which he heard argument from the various OEMs and then issued his rulings on the Motions. This Order Regarding Serving Parties' Motion to Compel Discovery from Non-Party OEMs and Ordering Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of Certain Non-Party OEM Families (the "Order") memorializes the Special Master's rulings on these disputes.

#### IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

#### I. **DEFINITIONS**

- A. "Auto Part Product" shall mean the specific automotive part to which each Lead Case (as defined in the March 22, 2106 Electronic Case Management Protocol Order, Master File No. 2:12-md-02311, ECF No. 1262) is related in the Auto Parts Cases.
- B. "Auto Parts Cases" shall mean all cases that are consolidated or coordinated into In re Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation and including, without limitation, 2:15-cv-12893 and 2:15-cv-003200 (Automotive Hoses); 2:15-cv-13000 (Automotive Brake Hoses); 2:15-cv-14080 and 2:15-cv-03300 (Shock Absorbers); 2:16-cv-10456 and 16-cv-03400 (Body Sealings) and 2:16-cv-10461 and 16-cv-03500 (Plastic Interior Trim Parts); 2:16-cv-11082 and 2:16-cv-11087 (Exhaust Systems).
- C. "Plaintiffs" shall mean End Payors (EPPs), Automobile Dealer Plaintiffs (ADPs),Truck and Equipment Dealer Plaintiffs (TEDPs), the State of Florida, and theState of Indiana.

- D. "**Defendants**" shall mean Defendants in the Auto Parts Cases.
- E. "OEM Group" shall mean each group of subpoenaed OEM entities and affiliates listed on pages 2–3 (of 7) of Exhibit 1227-2 to The Specified Subpoenaed Entities' Joint Opposition to the parties' Motions to Compel (see, e.g., ECF No. 1227-2) (i.e. (1) **BMW** (the "BMW Group" entities: BMW of North America, LLC; BMW Manufacturing Co., LLC; BMW Financial Services NA, LLC; BMW (US) Holding Corp.; BMW Bank of North America; BMW Insurance Agency, Inc.; BMW US Capital, LLC; Designworks/USA, Inc.); (2) Chrysler (the "Chrysler Group" entities: FCA US LLC and Fiat Chrysler Finance North America, Inc.); (3) **Daimler/Mercedes-Benz** (the "Daimler Group" entities: Daimler North America Corp. (MI); Daimler North America Corp. (NJ); Daimler Purchasing Coordination Corp.; Daimler Trucks North America LLC; Daimler Vans Manufacturing, LLC; Daimler Vans USA LLC; Freightliner Custom Chassis Corp.; Mercedes-Benz Advanced Design of North America Inc.; Mercedes-Benz Credit Corp.; Mercedes- Benz Financial Services USA LLC; Mercedes- Benz Research & Development North America, Inc. (CA); Mercedes-Benz Research & Development North America, Inc. (MI); Mercedes-Benz U.S. International, Inc.; Mercedes- Benz USA, LLC; Mitsubishi Fuso Truck of America, Inc.; Thomas Built Buses, Inc.; Western Star Trucks Sales, Inc.); (4) **GM** (the "GM Group" entities: General Motors Company; General Motors Financial Company, Inc.; General Motors Holdings LLC; General Motors LLC); (5) **Hino** (the Hino entity: Hino Manufacturing U.S.A., Inc.); (6) **Honda** (the "Honda Group" entities: American Honda Motor Co., Inc.; American Honda

Finance Corp.; Honda Manufacturing of Indiana, LLC; Honda North America, Inc.; Honda of America Mfg., Inc.; Honda of South Carolina Mfg., Inc.; Honda Precision Parts of Georgia, LLC; Honda R&D Americas, Inc.; Honda Research Institute USA, Inc.; Honda Transmission Manufacturing of America, Inc.); (7) **Hyundai** (the "Hyundai Group" entities: Hyundai Motor Manufacturing Alabama, LLC; Hyundai America Technical Center, Inc.; Hyundai Motor America; Hyundai AutoEver America, LLC; Hyundai Capital America); (8) Jaguar Land Rover (the Jaguar Land Rover entity: Jaguar Land Rover North America, LLC); (9) **Kia** (the "Kia Group" entities: Kia Motors Manufacturing Georgia, Inc.; Kia Motors America, Inc.); (10) Mitsubishi (the "Mitsubishi Group" entities: Mitsubishi Motors North America, Inc.; Mitsubishi Motors Credit of America, Inc.; Mitsubishi Motors R&D of America, Inc.); (11) Nissan (the "Nissan Group" entities: Nissan North America, Inc.; Nissan Design America, Inc. (part of NNA); Nissan Technical Center North America, Inc. (part of NNA); Nissan Diesel America, Inc. (part of NNA); Nissan Motor Acceptance Corp.); (12) **Porsche** (the Porsche entity: Porsche Cars North America, Inc.); (13) Subaru (the "Subaru Group" entities: Subaru of America, Inc., Subaru Leasing Corp., Fuji Heavy Industries U.S.A., Inc., Subaru of Indiana Automotive, Inc.); (14) **Toyota** (the "Toyota Group" entities: Toyota Motor Sales, USA, Inc.; Toyota Motor Engineering & Manufacturing North America, Inc. (the Parties have agreed to hold in abeyance subpoenas to the other listed Toyota entities); (15) **VW** (the "VW Group" entities: Volkswagen Group of America, Inc. d/b/a Volkswagen of America; Volkswagen Group of America, Inc. d/b/a Audi of America;

- Volkswagen Group of America Chattanooga Operations, LLC; and Volkswagen Credit, Inc.); (16) **Volvo** (the Volvo entity: Volvo Cars of North America).
- F. "Smaller OEM Group" herein shall mean each OEM group listed on page 6 (of 7) of Exhibit 1227-2 to The Specified Subpoenaed Entities' Joint Opposition to the parties' Motions to Compel (*see*, *e.g.*, ECF No. 1227-2) (*i.e.* (1) BMW; (2) Hino; (3) Jaguar Land Rover; (4) Mitsubishi; (5) Porsche; (6) Subaru; (7) VW; and (8) Volvo).

## II. DEPOSITIONS ORDERED

- A. The Parties collectively may take one (1) Rule 30(b)(6) deposition lasting up to fourteen (14) hours over two (2) days (or such longer periods as specifically set forth in Section II of this Order) of each OEM Group respecting the following fields of inquiry (and, where an OEM Group manufactures or sells vehicles at issue in the TEDP case, as well as the vehicles at issue in the ADP and EPP cases, the Parties may collectively take up to two such Rule 30(b)(6) depositions of up to fourteen (14) hours each):
  - 1. Transactional purchase data for the Auto Parts Products at issue;
  - Procurement process and supplier selection and price adjustments for the
    Auto Parts Products at issue, and documents related thereto;
  - 3. Vehicle cost data and other cost information;
  - 4. Vehicle pricing process, including process for setting and adjusting pricing, and documents related thereto;
  - 5. Transactional sales data for sales of vehicles:
    - a. from OEMs to ADPs and TEDPs;
    - b. from OEMs to distributors;

- c. from distributors to ADPs and TEDPs;
- d. from ADPs and TEDPs to EPPs;
- e. from OEMs to EPPs (e.g., fleet buyers); and
- f. from distributors to EPPs.

References in 1–5 above to documents and data include information on format, volume, where maintained, time period, current and legacy systems, and costs and burden of production.

- B. The allotted time for each deposition shall be divided equally between Plaintiffs (collectively) and Defendants (collectively). If, at the end of the deposition, one side has not used all of its allotted time, the parties will confer regarding said remaining time.
- C. Each hour of examination time of any corporate representative whose examination is conducted through an interpreter shall count as 7/13's of an hour toward the fourteen (14) hour maximum time for the Rule 30(b)(6) deposition of the particular OEM Group.
- D. The depositions ordered herein shall commence four weeks after the ECF filing date of this Order and shall be completed within 45 days following the end of this four week period.
- E. The Parties collectively shall serve on each OEM Group from which the Parties seek Rule 30(b)(6) testimony a deposition notice listing the OEM Group entities and identifying the fields of inquiry listed in Section II.A.1–5. For each OEM Group whose counsel has appeared in these MDL proceedings in connection with the Motion, service of the notices may be effected via e-mail to such counsel. So

long as they conform with the topics set forth in this Order, no objections to the

deposition notices shall be permitted.

F. An OEM Group must designate one or more appropriate individual(s) to serve as

its corporate representative(s) to testify on its behalf in response to a Rule

30(b)(6) notice.

G. The corporate representative, or representatives collectively, shall be prepared to

testify concerning the fields of inquiry on behalf of the entire OEM Group.

H. Depositions of Smaller OEM Groups, except for Subaru, will be held in abeyance

pending completion of the other OEM Group Rule 30(b)(6) depositions ordered

herein.

This Order shall be subject to appeal to The Honorable Marianne O. Battani, pursuant to

the Order Appointing the Special Master. Any party that wishes to file an objection to this

Order must do so within fourteen (14) days of the ECF filing date of this Order. Responses shall

be due ten (10) days after the date on which the objections are filed, and replies shall be due five

(5) days after the date on which the responses are filed. Failure to meet this deadline results in

waiver of any objection to the Master's order, absent good cause shown. Order Appointing

Master at § II.B.

DATED: April \_\_\_, 2016

GENE J. ESSHAKI

SPECIAL MASTER

<sup>7</sup> See Order Appointing Master (ECF No. 792).

8